Peculiar Transverse Velocities of Galaxies from Microlensing

Mediavilla, E.; Jiménez-Vicente, J.; Muñoz, J. A.; Battaner, E. 2016, ApJ, 832, 46

Peculiar velocities of galaxies

- Microlensing: relative movement between quasar and lens galaxy. Lens transverse velocity from microlensing light curves
- The motion of galaxies with respect to the smooth Hubble flow (i.e. the peculiar velocity field of galaxies) is key to understand the "dynamics" of the Universe
- Dark energy models predict measurable differences in the peculiar velocity field dependence with redshift

Caustics – crossing counts

- Wyithe et al. 1999; Gil-Merino et al. 2005; Poindexter & Kochanek 2010;
- Mediavilla et al. 2015 (2237+0305)
- Basic idea: caustics are like randomly distributed milestones of known mean separation (<d>)
- $V \approx (N^* < d >)/t$
- t (1 crossing) ≈ years (Mosquera & Kochanek 2011)
- Count caustic crossings in an ensemble of GL to reduce Poissonian noise



Caustics – Peaks Over a Threshold (POT)





Pilot study - computations

- 17 lensed quasars ensemble with published (and very heterogeneous) light curves
- We count 9, and 7 POT, respectively for thresholds of 0.1 and 0.2 mag

$$\langle n \rangle = \sum_{i} \langle n_i \rangle = \sum_{i} \frac{v_{\text{eff}\,i} t_i}{\sqrt{\langle m \rangle / 0.3 M_{\odot}}} l_{1i}^{-1}(R, \alpha).$$

$$v_{\text{eff}i} = \sqrt{\left(\frac{v_{oi}}{1+z_{li}}\frac{D_{\text{LS}i}}{D_{\text{OL}i}}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{\sqrt{2}\,\sigma_{\ast i}}{1+z_{li}}\frac{D_{\text{OS}i}}{D_{\text{OL}i}}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{\sqrt{2}\,\sigma_{\text{pec}}(z_{li})}{1+z_{li}}\frac{D_{\text{OS}i}}{D_{\text{OL}i}}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{\sqrt{2}\,\sigma_{\text{pec}}(z_{si})}{1+z_{si}}\right)^2,$$

R ≈ 4.3 light-days (<M>/0.3M_{sun})^{0.5} (Morgan et al. 2010, Mosquera et al. 2013, Jiménez-Vicente et al. 2015a,b)

Pilot study - results

- $\sigma_{pec}(0.53 \pm 0.18) \approx 638 \pm 213 \text{ km/s}$
- $\sigma_{pec}(0.53 \pm 0.18) \approx 657 \pm 248 \text{ km/s}$
- Comparison with Bulk Flow: average the radial peculiar velocity field of a certain volume of universe centered on us
- $\sigma_{pec}(0) \approx 491 \pm 164 \text{ km/s}$ compatible with σ_{LG} and ΛCDM predictions



Error budget – RM

Table 2. σ_{pec} Relative Error Budget

Source	present ensemble	LSST survey
$ \begin{array}{c} R \\ \text{profile} \\ \alpha \\ \langle m \rangle \\ (\kappa, \gamma) \\ \sigma_* \end{array} $	<0.10 <0.07 <0.04 <0.15 <0.03 <0.00	$ \begin{array}{c} < 0.05^{1} \\ < 0.07 \\ < 0.04^{1} \\ < 0.15 \\ < 0.03^{1} \\ < 0.00 \\ 0.00 \end{array} $
$\frac{v_0}{1/\sqrt{n}}$	<0.00	$< 0.00 \\ 0.02 - 0.07$

¹Overestimated upper limit

Reverberation mapping – sizes

• Edelson et al.



Jiang et al. (Pan-STARRS1 Surveys – PS1)



Figure 17. Top: summary of the stacked lag signals with median luminosity for the whole sample. The open black, red and blue triangles are the stacked g-r, g-i and g-z lags as shown in Figure 10. The filled black, red, and blue circles are the stacked g-r, g-i and g-z lags for two luminosity bins with the theoretically expected values for each luminosity bin connected by the black, red and blue lines. *Bottom*: the corresponding stacked lags of the subsample cLD for two luminosity bins as shown in Figure 13.

Future surveys – cadence

- Gaia: 2000 new gravitational lenses (better than monthly cadence?)
- LSST: 2600 new gravitational lenses (better than weekly cadence?)
- Euclid: 2500 new gravitational lenses?
- Objective: accurate estimate of $\sigma_{pec}(z)$ for different bins in z to test dark energy models
- ... using CCC and LCF